Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

| In the Matter of |) | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| |) | |
| National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. |) | WC Docket No. 04-437 |
| Proposed 2005 Modification of Average |) | |
| Schedule Formulas |) | DA 05-79 |

COMMENTS of the ORGANIZATION FOR THE PROMOTION AND ADVANCEMENT OF SMALL TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The Organization for the Promotion and Advancement of Small Telecommunications

Companies (OPASTCO) hereby submits these comments regarding the National Exchange

Carrier Association's (NECA) proposed modifications to the average schedule formulas for the

period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. OPASTCO is a national trade association

representing more than 560 small telecommunications carriers serving rural areas of the United

States. Its members, which include both commercial companies and cooperatives, together serve

over 3.5 million customers. All of OPASTCO's members are rural telephone companies as

defined in 47 U.S.C. §153(37).

Average schedules continue to be a very important settlement mechanism for many small incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs). The schedules benefit nearly 500 study areas by allowing them to receive interstate access compensation without incurring the administrative burden and cost of performing extensive cost separation studies. Approximately 35 percent of OPASTCO's members receive their interstate settlements based on NECA's average schedule

formulas. Consequently, OPASTCO takes great interest in NECA's proposed revisions. After careful review, OPASTCO recommends that the Pricing Policy Division promptly approve NECA's proposed modifications for July 1, 2005 implementation.

II. COMMENTS

NECA's proposed average schedule formula modifications would produce an overall 1.90 percent increase in settlements to average schedule companies.¹ This is due to minor adjustments in formula levels that reflect current cost and demand data as well as changes in technology and network configuration.

NECA has supported these routine adjustments with a new five-year sampling design developed in 2003, which is similar to the previously used 1998 sampling design.² This updated design reflects new populations of average schedule and cost companies, and includes a special stratum of small companies with fewer than 200 access lines per exchange.³ In addition, NECA's proposed formulas reflect the allocation rules mandated in the Multi-Association Group (MAG) Order⁴ adopted by the Commission in October 2001.⁵

While the overall impact of NECA's proposed formula modifications is a 1.90 percent increase in settlements, a small number of companies would experience a settlement decrease greater than 10 percent.⁶ For this reason, OPASTCO requests that the Commission consider the need for transitional support in the event that any average schedule company files a petition

¹ National Exchange Carrier Association, 2005 NECA Modification of Average Schedules, p. VII-58 (fil. Dec. 23, 2004 (2005 NECA Modification).

² 2005 NECA Modification, p. I-4-5.

 $^{^3}$ *Ibid*.

⁴ Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non-Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers, CC Docket No. 00-256, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Fifteenth Report and Order, Access Charge Reform for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers Subject to Rate-of-Return Regulation, CC Docket No. 98-77, Report and Order, Prescribing the Authorized Rate of Return for Interstate Services of Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket No. 98-166, Report and Order, 16 FCC Rcd 19613, 19654, 19656, paras. 90, 98 (2001) (MAG Order).

⁵ 2005 NECA Modification, p. VII-53.

demonstrating a hardship. A reasonable transition period would allow these ILECs to cushion the burden that may result from a decrease in revenues.

III. CONCLUSION

Pursuant to Section 69.606(a) of the Commission's rules, NECA's proposed average schedule formulas would simulate the disbursements that would be received by a representative cost company. OPASTCO therefore recommends that the Pricing Policy Division promptly approve the formulas as proposed to become effective on July 1, 2005 and consider the need for transitional support for any company that demonstrates a hardship from the settlement changes.

Respectfully submitted,

THE ORGANIZATION FOR THE PROMOTION AND ADVANCEMENT OF SMALL TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

By: <u>/s/ Stuart Polikoff</u>

Stuart Polikoff
Director of Government Relations

OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 659-5990

February 3, 2005

⁶ *Id.*, p. VII-58-59 and Appendix E.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stuart Polikoff, hereby certify that, on this, the 3rd day of February, 2005, a copy of OPASTCO's comments was hand delivered or sent by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to those listed on the attached sheet.

By: <u>/s/ Stuart Polikoff</u> Stuart Polikoff

SERVICE LIST WC Docket No. 04-437 DA 05-79

Douglas Slotten
Pricing Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 5-A233
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554
(via e-mail)

Richard Askoff Regina McNeil National Exchange Carrier Association 80 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981

Best Copy & Printing, Inc. Portals II 445 12th Street SW Room CY-B402 Washington, DC 20554